

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/994,980	11/27/2001	John E. Carlson	2748 CON	2946
7590 10/25/2004			EXAMINER	
United States Surgical, a division of			THALER, MICHAEL H	
150 Glover Ave	HCARE GROUP LP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Norwalk, CT 06856			3731	

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/994,980	CARLSON ET AL.			
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TI MAN INC DATE Of the	Michael Thaler	3731			
۔ Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address			
THE N - Extensions after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18</u>	8 August 2004.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 16-19,22-33 and 36-44 is/are pend (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with (a) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16-19,22-33 and 36-44 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	= : :				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl priority documents have been receau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/04.		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

The amendment filed August 18, 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure.

35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the new material at the end of the paragraph beginning at page 6, line 14 of the specification as well as the new material in figure 1 of the drawings. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the locking structure defined in claims 23 and 37 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In line 1, there is no antecedent basis for "the braid".

Art Unit: 3731

Claims 16-19 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horzewski et al. (5,201,756) in view of Makower et al. (5,380,290). Horzewski et al. disclose the steps of positioning a radially expandable sleeve (e.g. 90 in figures 5A and 5B) over a guidewire and inserting into the radially expandable sleeve a dilator 160 (figure 6C) to expand the sleeve (col. 13, lines 9-13). Horzewski et al. fail to disclose the step of forming a percutaneous tissue tract to the target vessel. However, Makower et al. teach that such a tissue tract should be formed (by needle 14) prior to the introduction of a quidewire therethrough apparently in order to obtain the advantage of facilitating the introduction of the guidewire. would have been obvious to form a percutaneous tissue tract to the target vessel in the Horzewski et al. procedure so that it too would have this advantage. As to claim 19, the radially expandable sleeve in the embodiment of figures 1A to 2F has an elastic structure so that its cross-section will collapse after As to claims 26-29, Horzewski et al. fail to expansion. disclose the claimed dimensions. However, it would have been obvious to so dimension the Horzewski et al. as claimed in order to fit within a blood vessel.

Claims 30-33 and 36-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horzewski et al. (5,201,756) in view

Application/Control Number: 09/994,980

Art Unit: 3731

of Makower et al. (5,380,290) as applied to claims 16-19 and 22-29 above, and further in view of Dubrul et al. (5,431,676). fail to disclose the expandable al. comprising a tubular braid. However, it is old and well known in this art to construct expandable sleeves as tubular braids so that they expand smoothly. For example, Dubrul et al. teach that an expandable sleeve should be constructed as a tubular braid for this reason (col. 6, lines 40-61, col. 11, lines 25-29 and col. 12, lines 7-10) It would have been obvious to so construct the Horzewski et al. expandable sleeve so that it too would have this advantage. The expandable sleeve constructed as a tubular braid would retain its larger diameter after the removed when the outer layer is plastically deformable as indicated in col. 6, line 46-48 of Dubrul et al. Alternatively, the expandable sleeve constructed as a tubular braid would retain its larger diameter after the dilator is removed when the outer tube of the dilator to remains in place as explained in the analysis regarding claim 33 as follows. to claim 33, Horzewski et al. fail to disclose using an outer tube of the dilator to remain in place after the dilator is removed to maintain the large diameter of the sleeve. it is old and well known in this art to so construct dilators (as admitted by applicant on page 5, lines 32-33) so that the Application/Control Number: 09/994,980

Art Unit: 3731

main portion of the dilator can be removed leaving the outer tube or sheath in place. It would have been obvious to so construct the Horzewski et al. dilator so that it too would have this advantage.

Applicant's arguments filed August 18, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Contrary to applicant's remarks, Horzewski et al. disclose the steps of positioning a radially expandable sleeve (e.g. 90) over a guidewire and inserting into the radially expandable sleeve a dilator (e.g. 160) to expand the expansible sleeve to provide an access lumen to the blood vessel.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/994,980

Art Unit: 3731

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or

communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael

Thaler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2981. The examiner

can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

reach the examiner by telephone attempts to

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can

The fax phone number for the be reached on (703)308-2154.

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status

of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

mht

10/19/04

MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6

ART UNIT 3731